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## Executive Summary

Trammell Crow Portland Development, Inc. (Trammell Crow) is proposing to construct a 1,406,885 square foot industrial facility in the southwest quadrant of the I-5/SR 432 interchange in Kelso, Washington.

The proposed project site has previously been studied as a large commercial development. As a part of that development significant offsite mitigation was identified for the I-5 and SR 432 interchange. Much of that mitigation has been constructed, including additional channelization at the Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive and SR 432 eastbound ramps at Talley Way intersections. Traffic signal control was also identified at both intersections, and the signal mast arms have already been constructed.

This report has been prepared to provide the traffic analysis and project information for the City of Kelso and the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) in reviewing the development proposal. Based on a Traffic Scoping report prepared for this project dated May 2022 and subsequent comments received from WSDOT, we have identified the need to evaluate existing and forecasted operations at the following intersections:

- SR 432 westbound ramps at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue
- SR 432 eastbound ramps at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue
- Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive
- SR 432 westbound ramps at Coweeman Park Drive
- SR 432 eastbound ramps at Talley Way
- SR 432 at Kelso Drive

Operational analysis has been prepared for existing 2022 PM peak hour conditions, as well as PM peak hour conditions for the project's opening (2024) and horizon year (2029) with and without completion of the development.

## Project Summary

At full occupancy, the project is estimated to generate approximately 1,716 new trips ends during the PM peak hour. Access to the industrial facility will be via an internal roadway system that connects to a short extension of Talley Way immediately south of the SR 432 eastbound ramp terminal.

## Operational Results

An intersection evaluation of the study area for the existing 2022, projected 2024 with and without the project traffic and projected 2029 with and without project was performed. With the completed traffic signal improvements at the I-5/SR 432 interchange all of the study intersections are projected to operate within the identified level of service standard with the exception of the SR 432 EB Off-Ramp at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue intersection. The minor street left-turn movement currently operates at LOS E and projected to operate at LOS F after completion of the project. A peak hour traffic signal warrant was evaluated for this intersection and a traffic signal is not warranted. There is an existing unused travel lane on $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, which is currently hatched for no vehicle entry. If this lane were made available for refuge such that the eastbound left-turning vehicles could use it to make a two-stage movement onto $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, the intersection would operate at LOS D or better for all scenarios.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Project Overview

Trammell Crow is proposing to construct a $1,406,885$ square foot industrial facility in the southwest quadrant of the I-5/SR 432 interchange in Kelso, Washington. This Traffic Impact Analysis has been prepared to document the expected traffic-related impacts for the proposed development. Figure 1 below illustrates the site vicinity and the transportation network serving the project area.

Figure 1. Site Vicinity Map


### 1.2 Study Context

### 1.2.1 Previous Project Site Development

The proposed project site has previously been studied as a large commercial development. The project was studied in 2008 and revised in 2010. The revised project included 725,000 square feet of retail
space, which was projected to generate over 50,000 daily trips and approximately 4.375 PM peak hour trips. As a part of that development significant offsite mitigation was identified for the I-5 and SR 432 interchange, which was paid for and constructed in 2010. Much of that mitigation has been constructed, including additional channelization at the Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive and SR 432 eastbound ramps at Talley Way intersections. Traffic signal control was also identified at both intersections, and the signal mast arms have already been constructed.

### 1.2.2 Proposed Development

This report has been prepared to provide the traffic analysis and project information for the City of Kelso and the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) in reviewing the development proposal. A Traffic Scoping Letter was prepared for this project dated May 2022 and is provided in Appendix A. Based on the Traffic Scoping Letter and subsequent comments received from WSDOT, we have identified the need to evaluate existing and forecasted operations at the following intersections:

- SR 432 westbound ramps at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue
- SR 432 eastbound ramps at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue
- Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive
- SR 432 westbound ramps at Coweeman Park Drive
- SR 432 eastbound ramps at Talley Way
- SR 432 at Kelso Drive

Operational analysis has been prepared for existing 2022 PM peak hour conditions, as well as PM peak hour conditions for the project's opening (2024) and horizon year (2029) with and without completion of the development.

## 2 Project Description

### 2.1 Development Proposal

Trammell Crow is proposing to construct a $1,406,885$ square foot industrial facility in the southwest quadrant of the I-5/SR 432 interchange in Kelso, Washington. Access to the industrial facility will be via an internal roadway system that connects to a short extension of Talley Way immediately south of the SR 432 eastbound ramp terminal. The extension of Talley Way that currently exists on the site will be removed and internal circulation will be configured as illustrated in the project site plan in Figure 2. The project has an opening year of 2024 and is expected to be constructed in a single phase.

Figure 2. Preliminary Site Plan


## 3 Existing Conditions Summary

### 3.1 Area Land Uses

The Mid I-5 Industrial Park site is currently undeveloped and is surrounded on all sides by transportation facilities or wetlands. Interstate 5 lies to the east of the property and SR 432 to the north, both of which are major transportation corridors. The BNSF rail mainline and Longview Wye lies to the west. There are existing wetlands to the south.

To the north of the immediate site vicinity along Coweeman Park Drive there is a regional park-and-ride lot, a car dealership, a tractor supply company, and various other light industrial uses. Further to the north lies the Kelso industrial corridor situated along both sides of Talley Way. The Southwest Washington Regional airport, a general aviation facility, is also located in this corridor. To the east of I-5 and south of the SR 432 interchange there is an RV dealership. There is no other development in the vicinity of the interchange due to the steep slopes and rapid changes in topography that exist.

### 3.2 Roadway Inventory

### 3.2.1 Interstate 5

Interstate 5 (I-5), which bisects the City of Kelso, is a six-lane interstate freeway providing north/south access from southern California to the Canadian border and is the primary freeway serving the west coast of the United States. Through the study area freeway has a speed limit of 70 mph . Immediately north of the project site, access to l-5 is provided through a freeway-to-freeway interchange with State Route (SR) 432. This interchange was reconstructed in 2010 and accommodates both the freeway-tofreeway connections to/from the north, south and west, but also incorporates two ramps that connect directly with Talley Way.

### 3.2.2 State Route (SR) 432/3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue

This state highway connects destinations in the Cities of Longview and Kelso to the l-5 corridor and provides critical access to the industrial corridors in both communities. Between I-5 and the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue interchange, SR 432 is classified in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan and by WSDOT as an "Other Freeway/Expressway and has two travel lanes in each direction with limited access. The highway turns south at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue and then west onto Industrial Way where it continues through the developed area to the west side of the City of Longview. This portion of the highway is classified as an "Other Principal Arterial, Urban". Along $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, the road has two travel lanes in each direction in most locations, narrowing to a single northbound through lane at the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue/SR 432 interchange. There are no bicycle or pedestrian facilities along the freeway segment of the highway or on $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue. The freeway portion of the highway is posted for 55 mph speeds, while $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue is posted for 35 mph speeds.

### 3.2.3 Talley Way

Talley Way is a north/south roadway that connects the SR 432 corridor to the Kelso industrial area and to the east side of the city via Colorado Street and $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Through the SR 432 interchange area, Talley Way has two travel lanes in each direction, narrowing to a single lane in each direction north of the interchange. In the vicinity of the interchange there is a sidewalk/pathway and widened shoulder on
the east side of the street, and a widened shoulder with no pedestrian facilities on the west side. The street is posted for 35 mph speeds.

### 3.2.4 $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue/SR 411

North of its intersection with SR 432, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue is a north/south Minor Arterial roadway that is also designated as SR 411 or the Westside Highway. This roadway connects the SR 432 industrial corridor with office and commercial destinations in the City of Longview. North of the interchange, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue has a single travel lane in each direction with a continuous two-way left turn lane. There are generally no bicycle or pedestrian facilities along this corridor. The street has a posted speed limit of 35 mph .

### 3.2.5 Kelso Drive

Kelso Drive is a north/south Minor Arterial that runs parallel to and immediately east of I-5, connecting the east side of the City of Kelso with rural residential areas to the south. In the vicinity of the I-5/SR 432 interchange, Kelso Drive has no shoulders or separated bicycle/pedestrian facilities. The street has a posted speed limit of 35 mph .

A summary of the intersection channelization and control type for each of the study intersections is provided in Figure 3.

### 3.3 Traffic Volume Data

Quality Counts, a transportation data collection service, provided PM peak period turning movement counts at six intersections. The counts were conducted on May 17, 2022 between 4:00 pm and 6:00 pm for the evening peak period. The following locations were counted:

- SR 432 westbound ramps at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue
- SR 432 eastbound ramps at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue
- Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive
- SR 432 westbound ramps at Coweeman Park Drive
- SR 432 eastbound ramps at Talley Way
- SR 432 at Kelso Drive

Figure 4 shows the 2022 PM peak hour traffic volumes for the study intersections. The original turning movement count diagrams are provided in Appendix B.
(

Figure 3
Existing Channelization and Intersection Control


### 3.4 Crash History

The Washington Department of Transportation provides crash data for study area roadways. The data was collected over the five-year span between January 1, 2017 and December 31, 2021 and reviewed for the study area intersections. The total crashes by severity are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Existing Crash Severity By Intersection

| Intersection | Fatal | Serious <br> Injury | Minor <br> Injury | Possible <br> Injury | Property <br> Damage <br> Only | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 432 WB ramps at 3rd <br> Avenue | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 24 |
| SR 432 EB ramps at 3rd <br> Avenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Talley Way at <br> Coweeman Park Drive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SR 432 WB ramps at <br> Coweeman Park Drive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SR 432 EB ramps at <br> Talley Way | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| SR 432 at Kelso Drive | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 17 |
| Total Crashes | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |

Overall, approximately 65 percent of all the reported crashes were classified as property damage only (with no apparent injury). There were no fatal or serious injury crashes reported.

## 4 Project Traffic Characteristics

The project-related characteristics having the most effect on area traffic conditions are peak hour trip generation and the directional distribution of traffic volumes on the surrounding roadway network.

### 4.1 Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

Vehicle trip generation was estimated using the trip generation rates contained in the $11^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Trip Generation Manual by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The land-use category HighCube Fulfillment Center Warehouse - Sort (land use code 155) was used.

### 4.1.1 Primary Traffic

A project such as a major industrial facility tends to attract a large amount of traffic from people making a trip specifically to this site. This traffic is known as "primary" trips and would be new to the existing roadway system.

### 4.1.2 Non-Primary Traffic

Some developments may also attract traffic from people already driving on area roadways. These trips are not new trips added to the local roadways (primary trips) but represent "non-primary" trips according to the following definitions:

- Pass-by trips are trips made as an intermediate stop from an origin to a primary destination (i.e., stopping to shop on the way home from work) by vehicles passing directly by the project driveway. No pass-by trips are assumed for this development.
- Diverted Trips are similar to pass-by trips, except diverted trips require a diversion from their original route onto another roadway to reach the site. These trips are not technically new trips but are new to the roadways in the immediate vicinity of a project.

To provide a conservative analysis it is assumed that all site trips will be primary trips. No pass-by trips are expected due to the location of the site at the end of Talley Way. A minor amount of diverted trips may occur but this is likely to be incidental. Therefore, the presence of diverted trip is not included in the trip analysis documented in this report.

The AM peak hour, PM peak hour, and Daily trip generation rates are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. Project Trip Generation Rates

| Peak Period | Unit | Trip Rate | Enter \% | Exit \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM peak hour of Adjacent Street | KSF | $0.87^{1}$ | $81 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| PM peak hour of Adjacent Street | KSF | $1.20^{1}$ | $39 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Daily | KSF | $6.44^{1}$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

1. Average rate was used

KSF means 1,000 square feet.

The total trip generation expected from this project is calculated by applying the unit measure for each land use category to the appropriate trip generation rate. The trip generation for the proposed project is shown in Table $\mathbf{3}$ below.

Table 3. Project Trip Generation

| Peak Period | Size | Total Trips | Enter | Exit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM peak hour of Adjacent Street | $1,406.885$ | 1,224 | 991 | 233 |
| PM peak hour of Adjacent Street | $1,406.885$ | 1,688 | 658 | 1,030 |
| Daily | $1,406.885$ | 9,060 | 4,530 | 4,530 |

The complete project trip generation calculations are included in Appendix C.

### 4.2 Site Traffic Distribution and Assignment

The site traffic distribution and assignment showing the sum of all PM peak hour project-related vehicle trips is provided on Figure 5. The trip distribution patterns identified in this figure are based on output from the regional travel demand model that was developed and maintained by the Cowlitz-Wahkiakum Council of Governments. A select zone loading from TAZ 477 was obtained from the model which assumes that the zone will be developed to accommodate an industrial land use.


## 5 Future Traffic Conditions

### 5.1 Roadway Network Improvements

There is one pending roadway improvement project of significance in the study area. This project builds on the Talley Way road alignment and bridge improvement study conducted in 2009, and advances preliminary engineering for the preferred concept. The project would involve reconstruction of a portion of Talley Way to a three-lane cross-section between $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and the Coweeman River Bridge just north of the interchange with SR 432. The Coweeman River Bridge would also be replaced. The project is included in the 2022-25 WSDOT State Transportation Improvement Program. Preliminary engineering is scheduled for 2023 and 2024, with construction expected to occur I 2025.

### 5.2 Future Traffic Volumes

Traffic volume forecasts were prepared for PM peak hour conditions for the 2024 opening year and the 2029 planning horizon year. The future traffic volume forecast includes non-specific background traffic growth, and estimated traffic generated by the proposed Mid I-5 Industrial Park project.

For the non-specific background traffic growth, a 1.0 percent annual growth rate (non-compounded) was used. This growth rate was taken a review of traffic volume history in the vicinity of the I-5/SR 432 interchange and along SR 432 which was made available from WSDOT.

The projected 2024 year of opening PM peak hour traffic volumes without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project are shown on Figure 6, while volumes with the project are shown on Figure 7. The projected 2029 horizon year PM peak hour traffic volumes without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project are presented in Figure 8, while PM peak hour volumes with the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project are illustrated in Figure 9.

The traffic volume calculations for the study intersections are included in Appendix C.


Figure 6
Projected 2024 PM Peak Hour
Traffic Volumes Without Project

Projected 2024 PM Peak Hour
Traffic Volumes With Project


Figure 8
Projected 2029 PM Peak Hour
Traffic Volumes Without Project

Projected 2029 PM Peak Hour
Traffic Volumes With Project

## 6 Traffic Operations Analysis

Traffic analyses were conducted to identify any deficiencies within the study area for the PM peak hour in the 2022 base year, the 2023 project opening year, and the 2029 horizon year.

### 6.1 Methodology and Levels of Service

The acknowledged source for determining overall capacity for arterial segments and independent intersections is the current edition of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) published by the Transportation Research Board (TRB).

Intersection analysis was performed using the Synchro software package (Version 11) for signalized or stop-controlled intersections. The Sidra software package (Version 9.2) was used to evaluate roundabout intersections. These software packages implement the methods of the $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition HCM. Analysis conducted using these software programs was consistent the relevant WSDOT protocols.

Operations analysis results are described in terms of Level of Service (LOS). LOS is a qualitative term describing operating conditions a driver will experience while traveling on a street or highway during a specific time interval. LOS ranges from A (very little delay) to F (long delays and congestion).

For intersections under minor street stop-control, the LOS of the most difficult movement (typically the minor street left-turn) represents the intersection Level of Service for purposes of assessing potential impacts. For traffic signals, the intersection average delay is used to assess potential impacts. Table 4 shows the Level of Service criteria for stop- or roundabout-controlled intersections and signalized intersections.

Table 4. Level of Service Criteria for Intersections

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized Intersection Average <br> Control Delay (seconds/vehicle) | Stop-Controlled or Roundabout <br> Intersection Average Control Delay <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Based on information from the WSDOT Geospatial Open Data Portal, Level of Service (LOS) D was identified as the preferred standard for the roadways and intersections in the study area. This is supported by the finding in the Cowlitz-Wahkiakum Council of Governments (CWCOGs) Metropolitan Transportation Plan which defines "recurring congestion" as LOS E or F.

### 6.2 Intersection Analysis

Based on the existing and forecasted volumes illustrated in previous graphics, traffic operations analysis was conducted at the six study area intersections. Analysis was conducted for the following scenarios:

- Existing 2022 traffic volumes
- Projected 2024 opening year traffic volumes with and without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project
- Projected 2029 horizon year traffic volumes with and without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project

The operational analysis results of the study intersections for the PM peak hour are provided in Table 5 for existing 2022 and opening year 2024 conditions. Table 6 shows PM peak hour analysis results for the 2029 horizon year. The LOS analysis worksheets are included in Appendix D. A discussion of existing and expected future year operations at each intersection is presented following the tables.

Table 5. Existing (2022) and Opening Year (2024) PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service

| Intersection |  | Control Type | LOS <br> Standard | Base Year 2022 |  | Projected 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Without Project |  |  |  | With Project |  |
|  |  | LOS (delay) |  | Worst V/C Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { (delay) } \end{gathered}$ | Worst V/C Ratio | LOS (delay) | Worst V/C Ratio |
| 1 | SR 432 WB ramps at 3rd Avenue |  | Signal | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | B (14.4) | 0.70 | B (14.6) | 0.70 | B (15.9) | 0.74 |
| 2 | SR 432 EB ramps at 3rd Avenue |  | TWSC² | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | E (44.4) | 0.46 | E (47.0) | 0.48 | F (62.7) | 0.57 |
| 3 | Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive | TWSC ${ }^{2}$ | D | C (20.1) | 0.22 | C (20.7) | 0.23 | - | - |
|  |  |  | $D^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | A (8.2) | 0.63 |
| 4 | SR 432 WB ramps at Coweeman Park Drive ${ }^{4}$ | TWSC ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | A (5.9) | N/A | A (5.4) | N/A | B (11.7) | N/A |
| 5 | SR 432 EB ramps at Talley Way | No Control | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | -- | -- |
|  |  | Signal | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | B (13.6) | 0.82 |
| 6 | SR 432 at Kelso Drive | RBT ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | A (5.8) | 0.26 | A (5.8) | 0.26 | A (7.5) | 0.55 |

1. WSDOT standard, source Geospatial Open Data Portal
2. Two-Way Stop-Control
3. Roundabout
4. SimTraffic LOS Result

Table 6. Horizon Year (2029) PM Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service

| Intersection |  | Control Type | LOS <br> Standard | Projected 2029 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Without Project |  | With Project |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS } \\ & \text { (delay) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Worst V/C Ratio | LOS <br> (delay) | Worst V/C Ratio |
| 1 | SR 432 WB ramps at 3rd Avenue |  | Signal | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | B (14.9) | 0.71 | B (16.4) | 0.75 |
| 2 | SR 432 EB ramps at 3rd Avenue |  | TWSC ${ }^{2}$ | $D^{1}$ | $F(60.5)$ | 0.58 | F (83.8) | 0.68 |
| 3 | Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive | TWSC ${ }^{2}$ | $D^{1}$ | C (22.1) | 0.26 | -- | -- |
|  |  | Signal | $D^{1}$ | -- | -- | A (8.3) | 0.63 |
| 4 | SR 432 WB ramps at Coweeman Park Drive ${ }^{4}$ | TWSC ${ }^{2}$ | $D^{1}$ | A (6.1) | N/A | B (13.1) | N/A |
| 5 | SR 432 EB ramps at Talley Way | No Control | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | N/A | N/A | -- | -- |
|  |  | Signal | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ | -- | -- | B (14.2) | 0.82 |
| 6 | SR 432 at Kelso Drive | $R B T^{3}$ | $D^{1}$ | A (5.9) | 0.28 | A (7.5) | 0.56 |

1. WSDOT standard, source Geospatial Open Data Portal
2. Two-Way Stop-Control
3. Roundabout
4. SimTraffic LOS Result

### 6.2.1 SR 432 Westbound Off-Ramp/Tennant Way Frontage at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue

This is a four-legged intersection which currently operates under traffic signal-control. Left turn lanes are provided in the west, north and east directions. Immediately west of the intersection the westbound off-ramp from SR 432 becomes the Tennant Way Frontage Road which provides local access to $7^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and a business/residential area. No sidewalks, crosswalks or other pedestrian facilities are provided in the vicinity of the intersection.

In the 2022 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS B with 14.4 seconds of average delay per vehicle. For the 2024 opening year without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS B, with 14.6 seconds of average delay. With the addition of project traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS $B$, with 15.9 seconds of average delay.

In the 2029 horizon year, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B with 14.9 seconds of average delay without the project, and remain at LOS B with 16.4 seconds of delay with the project.

### 6.2.2 SR 432 Eastbound Ramps at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue

The off-ramp from eastbound SR 432 is stop sign-controlled at the intersection with $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue. A single lane is provided which widens slightly at the intersection to accommodate a limited number of right turn vehicles. An eastbound on-ramp is provided for traffic heading south on $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue which is adjacent to the off-ramp. Access to eastbound SR 432 from northbound $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue is provided by a dedicated right turn slip lane. Only a single northbound through lane is provided at this point as opposed to the two southbound lanes.

In the 2022 PM peak hour, the stop-controlled intersection operates at LOS E with 44.4 seconds of average delay per vehicle for the eastbound left-turn movement. For the 2024 opening year without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS E with 47.0 seconds of average delay for the worst movement. With the addition of project traffic, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS F , with 62.7 seconds of average delay.

In the 2029 horizon year, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS F with 60.5 seconds of average delay without the project, and at LOS F with 83.8 seconds of delay with the project.

3rd Avenue currently has four lanes of roadway width north of the eastbound ramps and through the SR 432 interchange with two southbound lanes, one northbound lane, and the fourth lane a hatched-out space that converts to a northbound left-turn lane at the SR 432 Westbound Off-Ramp/Tennant Way Frontage at 3rd Avenue intersection. However, this lane could be made accessible as a refuge space for the eastbound left-turn movement at the SR 432 eastbound off-ramp to make a two-stage left-turn movement onto $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue. With that restriping implemented, the intersection would improve to LOS D or better for all volume scenarios.

### 6.2.3 Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive

This is a tee-intersection which currently operates under stop sign-control on Coweeman Park Drive and provides a crosswalk on the east leg where sidewalks or a pedestrian pathway currently exist. When the intersection was reconstructed in 2010, it was anticipated that a major commercial development would be built on the site currently proposed for the Mid I-5 Industrial Park. Accordingly, the intersection was built to include two westbound left turn lanes to channel traffic from westbound SR 432/I-5 to the site (one of which is currently closed pending the addition of traffic from future project area development). Two receiving lanes are available on Talley Way to carry traffic south towards the project site. The intersection also includes a single westbound right turn lane, and single northbound through and right turn lanes. Traffic signal poles and mast arms have been constructed at this intersection but no signal heads or other electrical equipment is currently in place. It is expected that these will be installed in the future as traffic volumes warrant. The intersection analysis assumes this intersection will operate under traffic signal control for the 2024 and 2029 with project volume scenarios

In the 2022 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS C with 20.1 seconds of average delay. For the 2024 opening year without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS C, with 20.7 seconds of average delay. With the addition of project traffic and traffic signal control, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS A, with 8.2 seconds of average delay.

In the 2029 horizon year, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS C with 22.1 seconds of average delay without the project, and at LOS A with 8.3 seconds of delay with the project and traffic signal control.

### 6.2.4 SR 432 Westbound Ramps at Coweeman Park Drive

This is a tee intersection that currently operates under stop sign- control for the east/west movements on Coweeman Park Drive. The SR 432 westbound off-ramp is a free-flowing movement. There is a sidewalk along the north side of this street but no crosswalks.

In the 2022 PM peak hour, the intersection operates at LOS A with 5.9 seconds of average delay. For the 2024 opening year without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project, the intersection is projected to operate at

LOS A, with 5.4 seconds of average delay. With the addition of project traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS B, with 11.7 seconds of average delay.

In the 2029 horizon year, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS A with 6.1 seconds of average delay without the project, and at LOS B with 13.1 seconds of delay with the project.

### 6.2.5 SR 432 Eastbound Ramps at Talley Way

This intersection was also designed and built as part of the 2010 reconstruction of the I-5/SR 432 interchange. The intersection has two westbound left turn lanes to channel traffic from eastbound SR 432 to the project site. A single westbound right turn lane is also provided. Two travel lanes are provided in the southbound direction heading towards the project site. However, since Talley Way is currently closed to the south of this intersection and no on-site development has occurred, the southbound lanes function as a curved roadway leading to northbound and southbound $\mathrm{I}-5$. There is currently no traffic control at this intersection.

With the addition of project traffic, the intersection will be modified to operate with three approach legs. Two southbound through lanes will be provided into the site as will two westbound left turn lanes. Two northbound lanes have been assumed, along with traffic signal control. In the 2024 opening year PM peak hour, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS B with 13.6 seconds of average delay. In the 2029 horizon year, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B with 14.2 seconds of average delay

### 6.2.6 SR 432 at Kelso Drive

This is a four-legged roundabout intersection that serves off-ramp traffic from l-5 northbound, connecting the freeway to Kelso Drive. As of 2022, this intersection currently operates at LOS A with 5.8 seconds of average delay. For the 2024 opening year without the Mid I-5 Industrial Park project, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS A, with 5.8 seconds of average delay. With the addition of project traffic, the intersection is projected to remain at LOS A, with 7.5 seconds of average delay.

In the 2029 horizon year, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS A with 5.9 seconds of average delay without the project, and at LOS A with 7.5 seconds of delay with the project.

### 6.3 Signal Warrant Analysis

Due to the operational performance of the SR 432 EB Off-Ramp at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue intersection, a traffic signal warrant was prepared for the PM peak hour to determine if traffic signal control is appropriate. Given the existing traffic signal infrastructure that was built for the previous planned commercial development at the intersections of SR 432 EB Off-Ramp at 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive, and Talley Way/Site Driveway at I-5/SR 432 Ramps, traffic signal warrant analysis were completed to verify that upon completion of the proposed project they are warranted. The peak hour warrants results for each intersection are described below.

### 6.3.1 SR 432 EB Off-Ramp at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue Peak Hour Signal Warrant

The major street volume is the sum of northbound and southbound approaches on $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue which provide two travel lanes and the minor street volume is the eastbound approach on SR 432 Off-Ramp, which provides a single lane. The intersection does not meet the volume warrant for the 2024 or 2029 horizon years. The peak hour warrant is provided in Figure 10.

Figure 10. SR 432 EB Off-Ramp and 3rd Avenue Peak Hour Signal Warrant

Figure 4C-3. Warrant 3, Peak Hour

*Note: 150 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

### 6.3.2 Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive Peak Hour Signal Warrant

The major street volume is the sum of northbound and southbound approaches on Talley Way which provide two travel lanes and the minor street volume is the westbound approach on Coweeman Park Drive, which also provides two or more travel lanes. The intersection meets the volume warrant for both the 2024 and 2029 horizon years. The peak hour warrant is provided in Figure 11.

Figure 11. Talley Way and Coweeman Park Drive Peak Hour Signal Warrant
Figure 4C-3. Warrant 3, Peak Hour


### 6.3.3 Talley Way/Site Driveway at I-5/SR 432 Ramps Peak Hour Signal Warrant

 The major street volume is the sum of northbound and southbound approaches on Talley Way which provide two or more travel lanes and the minor street volume is the westbound approach on I-5/SR 432 Ramps, which also provides two or more travel lanes. The intersection meets the volume warrant for both the 2024 and 2029 horizon years. The peak hour warrant is provided in Figure 12.Figure 12. Talley Way/Site Driveway and I-5/SR 432 Ramps Peak Hour Signal Warrant
Figure 4C-3. Warrant 3, Peak Hour

*Note: 150 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

## 7 Summary And Mitigation

Trammell Crow is proposing to construct a $1,406,885$ square foot industrial facility in the southwest quadrant of the I-5/SR 432 interchange in Kelso, Washington. Access to the industrial facility will be via an internal roadway system that connects to a short extension of Talley Way immediately south of the SR 432 eastbound ramp terminal. The extension of Talley Way that currently exists on the site will be removed and internal circulation will be configured as illustrated in the project site plan.

At full occupancy, the project is estimated to generate approximately 1,688 new trips ends during the PM peak hour. This report has been prepared to provide the traffic analysis and project-information for the City of Kelso and the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) to use in the environmental review of the project.

An intersection evaluation of the study area for the existing 2022, projected 2024 with and without the project traffic and projected 2029 with and without project was performed. With the completed traffic signal improvements at the I-5/SR 432 interchange all of the study intersections are projected to operate within the identified level of service standard with the exception of the SR 432 EB Off-Ramp at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue intersection. The minor street left-turn movement currently operates at LOS E and projected to operate at LOS F after completion of the project. A peak hour traffic signal warrant was evaluated for this intersection and a traffic signal is not warranted. There is an existing unused travel lane on $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, which is currently hatched for no vehicle entry. If this lane were made available for refuge such that the eastbound left-turning vehicles could use it to make a two-stage movement onto $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, the intersection would operate at LOS D or better for all scenarios. This intersection should be monitored and, as needed, this restriping should be considered to better accommodate the existing eastbound left-turn movement.
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## MEMORANDUM

TO:
FROM:
DATE:
PROJECT:
SUBJECT:

Tom Nieswander, Trammell Crow Company
Anne Sylvester, PTE, Senior Consultant
May 5, 2022
Kelso-Segale Development (SCJ \# 22-000124)
Traffic Scoping Letter

## Introduction

Trammell Crow is proposing to construct a 1,429,611 square foot warehouse in the southwest quadrant of the I-5/SR 432 interchange in Kelso, Washington. This Traffic Scoping Report has been prepared to document the expected trip generation and distribution for the proposed development. The site vicinity is shown below.

Figure 1. Project Vicinity


## Project Description

The proposed project will consist of a warehouse facility estimated to include one large building or several smaller buildings totaling $1,429,611$ square feet. The site is currently vacant and is served by the SR 432/Talley Way interchange and a roadway built through the site that was originally intended to serve a large retail commercial establishment proposed for construction in 2010. It is expected that the site will be developed in a single phase to be opened in early 2024. The existing roadway on the site will be removed and replaced with a perimeter roadway system. A preliminary site plan for the project is attached to the report.

Access to the project will be provided by an internal, private circulation system which will join Talley Way east of the eastbound ramp termini intersection with SR 432. The east and westbound ramp termini intersections provide regional access to the project site from both SR 432 and I-5.

## Project Trip Generation

Vehicle trip generation was estimated using the trip generation rates contained in the $11^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Trip Generation Manual by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The land-use category HighCube Fulfillment Center Warehouse - Sort (land use code 155) was used.

## Primary Traffic

A project such as a major warehouse facility tends to attract a large amount of traffic from people making a trip specifically to this site. This traffic is known as "primary" trips and would be new to the existing roadway system.

## Non-Primary Traffic

Some developments may also attract traffic from people already driving on area roadways. These trips are not new trips added to the local roadways (primary trips) but represent "non-primary" trips according to the following definitions:

Pass-by trips are trips made as an intermediate stop from an origin to a primary destination (i.e., stopping to shop on the way home from work) by vehicles passing directly by the project driveway. No pass-by trips are assumed for this development.

Diverted Trips are similar to pass-by trips, except diverted trips require a diversion from their original route onto another roadway to reach the site. These trips are not technically new trips but are new to the roadways in the immediate vicinity of a project.

To provide a conservative analysis it is assumed that all site trips will be primary trips. No pass-by trips are expected due to the location of the site at the end of Talley Way. A minor amount of diverted trips may occur but this is likely to be incidental. Therefore, the presence of diverted trip is not included in the trip analysis documented in this report.

The AM peak hour, PM peak hour, and Daily trip generation rates are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Project Trip Generation Rates

| Peak Period | Unit | Trip Rate | Enter \% | Exit \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM peak hour of Adjacent Street | KSF | $0.87^{1}$ | $81 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| PM peak hour of Adjacent Street | KSF | $1.20^{1}$ | $39 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Daily | KSF | $6.44^{1}$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

1. Average rate was used

KSF means 1,000 square feet.
The total trip generation expected from this project is calculated by applying the unit measure for each land use category to the appropriate trip generation rate. The trip generation for the proposed project is shown in Table $\mathbf{2}$ below.

Table 2. Project Trip Generation

| Peak Period | Size | Total Trips | Enter | Exit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM peak hour of Adjacent Street | $1,429.61$ | 1,244 | 1,008 | 236 |
| PM peak hour of Adjacent Street | $1,429.61$ | 1,716 | 669 | 1,047 |
| Daily | $1,429.61$ | 9,208 | 4,604 | 4,604 |

## Site Traffic Distribution and Assignment

The site traffic distribution and assignment showing the sum of all PM peak hour vehicle trips is provided on Figure 2. The trip distribution patterns identified in this figure are based on output from the regional travel demand model that was developed and maintained by the Cowlitz-Wahkiakum Council of Governments. A select zone loading from TAZ 477 was obtained from the model which assumes that the zone will be developed to accommodate an industrial land use.

A graphic showing the trip distribution percentages and assigned trips is attached to this report as Figure 2.

## Proposed Analysis Parameters

Based on correspondence with Washington Department of Transportation and the City of Kelso, we propose providing analysis of the following intersections for the PM peak hour conditions as part of a Traffic Impact Analysis study:

- SR 432 eastbound ramps at Talley Way
- SR 432 westbound ramps at Coweeman Park Drive
- Talley Way at Coweeman Park Drive
- SR 432 at Kelso Drive
- SR 432 eastbound ramps at $3^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue
- Talley Way at Site Driveway

The analysis would be prepared for existing (2022), forecasted year of opening (2024) and forecasted year of opening plus five (2029) conditions with and without project completion. The analysis would include evaluation of intersection level of service and queuing and would identify turn lane and/or traffic control requirements.

Thank you for reviewing the enclosed materials. We have prepared this information for your review in anticipation of a traffic scoping discussion to finalize the requirements of a Traffic Impact Analysis for the development.

If you have any questions or comments about the enclosed information, please contact me at (360) 3521465, Ext. 140.

Respectfully,
SCJ Alliance


Anne Sylvester, PTE
Senior Consultant

Enclosures: Preliminary Site Plan<br>Figure 2<br>CWCOG Travel Demand Model Output
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BUILDING AREA 1,429,610 S.F.

Tabulation


Note: This is a conceptual plan. It is based on prelim inary information which is not fully verified and may be incomplete. It is meant as a comparative ining alternate deve 1 strategies and any 4 indicated re subiect to revision as more reliable information becomes available.

Conceptual Site Plan
Interstate 5 and Talley Way

Figure 2
Site-Generated Traffic Volumes PM Peak Hour

Assumes 725 Light Industrial Employees in TAZ (no other employees or residential)
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## Appendix C

Traffic Volume Worksheets

## Mid I-5 Industrial Park

Trip Generation

| PM Peak Hour Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Plan Description | LUC | ITE Description | Variable | Value | Trip Rate | Distribution |  | Total Trips |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out | Total |
| Industrial Facililty | 155 | High Cube Fulfillment Center Warehouse - Sort | KSF | 1406.885 | 1.20 | 39\% | 61\% | 658 | 1,030 | 1,688 |
| Project Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| AM Peak Hour Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Plan Description | LUC | ITE Description | Variable | Value | Trip Rate | Distribution |  | Total Trips |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out | Total |
| Industrial Facililty | 155 | High Cube Fulfillment Center Warehouse - Sort | KSF | 1406.885 | 0.87 | 81\% | 19\% | 991 | 233 | 1,224 |
| Project Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Daily Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Plan Description | LUC | ITE Description | Variable | Value | Trip Rate | Distribution |  | Total Trips |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out | Total |
| Industrial Facililty | 155 | High Cube Fulfillment Center Warehouse - Sort | KSF | 1406.885 | 6.44 | 50\% | 50\% | 4,530 | 4,530 | 9,060 |
| Project Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SCJ ALLIANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Mid I-5 Industrial Park

PM Peak Hour Volumes
Growth Rate: 1\%


## Mid I-5 Industrial Park

SCJ ALLIANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

PM Peak Hour Volumes
Growth Rate: $1 \%$


Appendix D
Operational Analysis Worksheets

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | 「 | \% ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 中 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 180 | 455 | 15 | 90 | 50 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 55 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 180 | 455 | 15 | 90 | 50 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 55 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 75 | 550 |  | 625 | 275 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( ft ) |  | 318 |  |  | 873 |  |  | 684 |  |  | 482 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.2 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 |  | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 10.5 |  |  | 10.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 24.0 | 14.0 |  | 11.0 | 26.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% | 48.0\% | 28.0\% |  | 22.0\% | 52.0\% |  |  | 30.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 |  | 5.5 | 20.5 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lead |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | None | None | None |  | None | Min |  |  | Min |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 39.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuate | coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: 3rd Ave \& Tennant Way/SR 432 WB Off Ramp


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ |  | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\square}$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 个 |  |  | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 5 | 0 | 180 | 455 | 15 | 90 | 50 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 55 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 5 | 0 | 180 | 455 | 15 | 90 | 50 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 55 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1559 | 0 | 1750 | 1600 | 1750 | 1695 | 1723 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 1695 | 1668 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 8 | 0 | 6 | 517 | 17 | 102 | 57 | 347 | 0 | 0 | 392 | 62 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 14 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Cap，veh／h | 12 | 0 | 0 | 743 | 29 | 175 | 98 | 699 | 0 | 0 | 569 | 89 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1485 | 6 |  | 2956 | 217 | 1300 | 1641 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 2874 | 438 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h |  | 47.1 |  | 517 | 0 | 119 | 57 | 347 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 229 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1485 | D |  | 1478 | 0 | 1516 | 1641 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 1611 | 1617 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.1 |  |  | 5.9 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.1 |  |  | 5.9 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.86 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.27 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 12 |  |  | 743 | 0 | 204 | 98 | 699 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 330 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.50 |  |  | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.69 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 200 |  |  | 1435 | 0 | 327 | 243 | 937 | 0 | 0 | 413 | 414 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 18.3 |  |  | 12.6 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 17.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 13.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 28.8 |  |  | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／In | 0.1 |  |  | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 47.1 |  |  | 13.8 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 22.3 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 17.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | D |  |  | B | A | B | C | A | A | A | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  |  |  |  | 636 |  |  | 404 |  |  | 454 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 17.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 15.3 | 7.7 | 13.1 | 5.3 | 11.0 | 20.8 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 18.0 | 5.5 | 9.5 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 20.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 7.9 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 7.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 14.4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | B |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{r}$ |  |  | 个 | 个 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 55 | 10 | 0 | 300 | 755 | 225 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 55 | 10 | 0 | 300 | 755 | 225 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 65 | 12 | 0 | 353 | 888 | 265 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1374 | 577 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 1021 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 353 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.63 | 6.9 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.83 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.43 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.519 | 3.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 148 | 465 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 309 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 710 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 148 | 465 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 148 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 309 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 710 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 44.4 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS E

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | 165 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{- 1 4}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 55 | 140 | 135 | 25 | 185 | 175 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 55 | 140 | 135 | 25 | 185 | 175 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 32 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 66 | 169 | 163 | 30 | 223 | 211 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 715 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 163 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 552 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.675 | 6.68 | - | - | 4.235 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.475 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.875 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5475 | 3.604 | - |  | 2.2855 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 376 | 800 | - | - | 1334 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 857 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 534 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 305 | 800 | - | - | 1334 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 305 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 857 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 433 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.4 |  | 0 |  | 4.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1WBLn2 |  |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 305 | 800 | 1334 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.217 | 0.211 | 0.167 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 20.1 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 0.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | - |

4: I-5/SR 432 Ramps \& Coweeman Park Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.5 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.1 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\forall$ Site: 6 [Existing 2022 (Site Folder: General)]

I-5 NB Ramps/SR 432 Ramps at Old Pacific Hwy
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEM } \\ \text { FLC } \\ \text { [ Total } \\ \text { veh/h } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CK OF } \\ \text { UE } \\ \text { Dist ] } \\ \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: NB Old Pacific Hwy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 165 | 1.0 | 179 | 1.0 | 0.234 | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.2 | 30.5 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 37.2 |
| 18 R2 | 115 | 3.0 | 125 | 3.0 | 0.234 | 4.4 | LOS A | 1.2 | 30.5 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 36.0 |
| Approach | 280 | 1.8 | 304 | 1.8 | 0.234 | 4.4 | LOS A | 1.2 | 30.5 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 36.7 |
| East: WB Kelso Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 110 | 1.0 | 120 | 1.0 | 0.126 | 10.4 | LOS B | 0.6 | 15.1 | 0.32 | 0.62 | 0.32 | 35.0 |
| 16 R2 | 35 | 0.0 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.126 | 4.5 | LOS A | 0.6 | 15.1 | 0.32 | 0.62 | 0.32 | 33.9 |
| Approach | 145 | 0.8 | 158 | 0.8 | 0.126 | 9.0 | LOS A | 0.6 | 15.1 | 0.32 | 0.62 | 0.32 | 34.7 |
| North: SB SR 432 Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 65 | 2.0 | 71 | 2.0 | 0.259 | 10.3 | LOS B | 1.4 | 35.8 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.30 | 36.6 |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 245 | 2.0 | 266 | 2.0 | 0.259 | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.4 | 35.8 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.30 | 36.5 |
| Approach | 310 | 2.0 | 337 | 2.0 | 0.259 | 5.6 | LOS A | 1.4 | 35.8 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.30 | 36.5 |
| West: NB I-5 Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.073 | 11.5 | LOS B | 0.4 | 9.0 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 36.4 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 45 | 2.0 | 49 | 2.0 | 0.073 | 5.7 | LOS A | 0.4 | 9.0 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 36.3 |
| 12 R 2 | 20 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.073 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.4 | 9.0 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 35.2 |
| Approach | 70 | 1.3 | 76 | 1.3 | 0.073 | 6.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 9.0 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 36.0 |
| All Vehicles | 805 | 1.7 | 875 | 1.7 | 0.259 | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.4 | 35.8 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 0.32 | 36.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: SCJ ALLIANCE | Licence: PLUS / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:14:36 AM
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|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 「 | \% ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 180 | 465 | 15 | 90 | 55 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 55 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 180 | 465 | 15 | 90 | 55 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 55 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 75 | 550 |  | 625 | 275 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 318 |  |  | 873 |  |  | 684 |  |  | 482 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.2 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 |  | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 10.5 |  |  | 10.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 24.0 | 14.0 |  | 11.0 | 26.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% | 48.0\% | 28.0\% |  | 22.0\% | 52.0\% |  |  | 30.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 |  | 5.5 | 20.5 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lead |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | None | None | None |  | None | Min |  |  | Min |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 41.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: 3rd Ave \& Tennant Way/SR 432 WB Off Ramp


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | F | 7* | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 蚛 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 0 | 180 | 465 | 15 | 90 | 55 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 55 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 0 | 180 | 465 | 15 | 90 | 55 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 55 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1559 | 0 | 1750 | 1600 | 1750 | 1695 | 1723 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 1695 | 1668 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 6 | 528 | 17 | 102 | 62 | 352 | 0 | 0 | 403 | 62 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 14 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Cap, veh/h | 12 | 0 | 0 | 753 | 29 | 174 | 104 | 707 | 0 | 0 | 578 | 88 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1485 | 6 |  | 2956 | 217 | 1300 | 1641 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 2886 | 428 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 6 | 47.2 |  | 528 | 0 | 119 | 62 | 352 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 235 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1485 | D |  | 1478 | 0 | 1516 | 1641 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 1611 | 1618 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.2 |  |  | 6.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.2 |  |  | 6.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.86 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.26 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 12 |  |  | 753 | 0 | 203 | 104 | 707 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 334 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.50 |  |  | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.70 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 199 |  |  | 1423 | 0 | 324 | 241 | 930 | 0 | 0 | 409 | 411 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 18.5 |  |  | 12.6 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 13.8 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 28.8 |  |  | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.1 |  |  | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 47.2 |  |  | 13.8 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 22.4 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 17.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | D |  |  | B | A | B | C | A | A | A | B | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  |  |  |  | 647 |  |  | 414 |  |  | 465 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  | 14.6 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  | 17.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$, s | 15.5 | 7.9 | 13.2 | 5.3 | 11.0 | 21.1 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$, s | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 18.0 | 5.5 | 9.5 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 20.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 8.1 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 7.7 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 14.6

HCM 6th LOS B

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  |  | 个 | 怍 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 55 | 10 | 0 | 305 | 770 | 230 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 55 | 10 | 0 | 305 | 770 | 230 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 65 | 12 | 0 | 359 | 906 | 271 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{- 1 4}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 55 | 145 | 140 | 25 | 190 | 175 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 55 | 145 | 140 | 25 | 190 | 175 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 32 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 66 | 175 | 169 | 30 | 229 | 211 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 733 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 169 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 564 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.675 | 6.68 | - | - | 4.235 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.475 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.875 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5475 | 3.604 | - |  | 2.2855 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 366 | 794 | - | - | 1327 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 852 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 527 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 295 | 794 | - | - | 1327 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 295 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 852 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 424 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.5 |  | 0 |  | 4.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1WBLn2 |  |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 295 | 794 | 1327 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.225 | 0.22 | 0.173 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 20.7 | 10.8 | 8.3 | 0.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | - |

4: I-5/SR 432 Ramps \& Coweeman Park Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.5 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.0 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\nabla$ Site: 6 [Projected 2024 Without Project (Site Folder: General)]

I-5 NB Ramps/SR 432 Ramps at Old Pacific Hwy
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID |  | INPUT VOLUMES | DEMAND FLOWS |  | Deg. Satn v/c $\qquad$ | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% BACK OF QUEUE <br> [ Veh. Dist ] <br> veh ft |  | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: NB Old Pacific Hwy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 170 | 1.0 | 185 | 1.0 | 0.242 | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.3 | 31.9 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 37.2 |
| 18 R2 | 120 | 3.0 | 130 | 3.0 | 0.242 | 4.4 | LOS A | 1.3 | 31.9 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 36.0 |
| Approach | 290 | 1.8 | 315 | 1.8 | 0.242 | 4.4 | LOS A | 1.3 | 31.9 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 36.7 |
| East: WB Kelso Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 110 | 1.0 | 120 | 1.0 | 0.126 | 10.5 | LOS B | 0.6 | 15.2 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 0.33 | 35.0 |
| 16 R2 | 35 | 0.0 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.126 | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.6 | 15.2 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 0.33 | 33.9 |
| Approach | 145 | 0.8 | 158 | 0.8 | 0.126 | 9.0 | LOS A | 0.6 | 15.2 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 0.33 | 34.7 |
| North: SB SR 432 Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 65 | 2.0 | 71 | 2.0 | 0.263 | 10.3 | LOS B | 1.4 | 36.6 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.30 | 36.6 |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 250 | 2.0 | 272 | 2.0 | 0.263 | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.6 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.30 | 36.5 |
| Approach | 315 | 2.0 | 342 | 2.0 | 0.263 | 5.6 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.6 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.30 | 36.5 |
| West: NB I-5 Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.073 | 11.6 | LOS B | 0.4 | 9.1 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 36.4 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 45 | 2.0 | 49 | 2.0 | 0.073 | 5.7 | LOS A | 0.4 | 9.1 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 36.3 |
| 12 R 2 | 20 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.073 | 5.7 | LOS A | 0.4 | 9.1 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 35.2 |
| Approach | 70 | 1.3 | 76 | 1.3 | 0.073 | 6.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 9.1 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 36.0 |
| All Vehicles | 820 | 1.7 | 891 | 1.7 | 0.263 | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.6 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 0.32 | 36.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | F | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 215 | 540 | 15 | 130 | 55 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 55 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 215 | 540 | 15 | 130 | 55 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 55 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 75 | 550 |  | 625 | 275 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 318 |  |  | 873 |  |  | 684 |  |  | 482 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.2 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 |  | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 10.5 |  |  | 10.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 24.0 | 14.0 |  | 11.0 | 26.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% | 48.0\% | 28.0\% |  | 22.0\% | 52.0\% |  |  | 30.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 |  | 5.5 | 20.5 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lead |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | None | None | None |  | None | Min |  |  | Min |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 42.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: 3rd Ave \& Tennant Way/SR 432 WB Off Ramp


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | 个 | 怍 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 55 | 10 | 0 | 305 | 845 | 290 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 55 | 10 | 0 | 305 | 845 | 290 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 65 | 12 | 0 | 359 | 994 | 341 |




Splits and Phases: 3: Talley Way \& Coweeman Park Dr



4: I-5/SR 432 Ramps \& Coweeman Park Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 10.5 | 3.7 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 3.3 |


|  | $\dagger$ |  |  | + |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | ¢ $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 250 | 165 | 465 | 565 | 225 | 410 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 250 | 165 | 465 | 565 | 225 | 410 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 350 | 0 |  | 0 | 400 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (tt) | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 30 |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 557 |  | 460 |  |  | 855 |
| Travel Time (s) | 12.7 |  | 12.5 |  |  | 19.4 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 9.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 18.0 | 47.5 |
| Total Split (\%) | 32.1\% | 32.1\% | 42.1\% | 42.1\% | 25.7\% | 67.9\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 | 18.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 13.5 | 43.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Min | Min | None | Min |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 70Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 5: Site Driveway/Talley Way \& I-5/SR 432 Ramps



## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\forall$ Site: 6 [Projected 2024 With Project (Site Folder: General)]

I-5 NB Ramps/SR 432 Ramps at Old Pacific Hwy
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { INF } \\ \text { VOLL } \\ \text { [ Total } \\ \text { veh/h } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JT } \\ & \text { MES } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEM } \\ \text { FLC } \\ \text { [ Total } \\ \text { veh/h } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IND } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { HV ] } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. <br> Delay <br> sec | Level of Service |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CK OF } \\ & \text { UE } \\ & \text { Dist ] } \\ & \text { ft } \end{aligned}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> mph |
| South: NB Old Pacific Hwy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 215 | 1.0 | 234 | 1.0 | 0.346 | 5.9 | LOS A | 2.1 | 53.3 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 36.2 |
| 18 R2 | 120 | 3.0 | 130 | 3.0 | 0.346 | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.1 | 53.3 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 35.0 |
| Approach | 335 | 1.7 | 364 | 1.7 | 0.346 | 6.0 | LOS A | 2.1 | 53.3 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 35.8 |
| East: WB Kelso Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 110 | 1.0 | 120 | 1.0 | 0.133 | 10.7 | LOS B | 0.7 | 17.5 | 0.40 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 34.8 |
| 16 R2 | 35 | 0.0 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.133 | 4.8 | LOS A | 0.7 | 17.5 | 0.40 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 33.7 |
| Approach | 145 | 0.8 | 158 | 0.8 | 0.133 | 9.2 | LOS A | 0.7 | 17.5 | 0.40 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 34.5 |
| North: SB SR 432 Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 335 | 2.0 | 364 | 2.0 | 0.553 | 10.6 | LOS B | 4.4 | 112.6 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 35.4 |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 325 | 2.0 | 353 | 2.0 | 0.553 | 4.6 | LOS A | 4.4 | 112.6 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 35.3 |
| Approach | 660 | 2.0 | 717 | 2.0 | 0.553 | 7.7 | LOS A | 4.4 | 112.6 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 35.4 |
| West: NB I-5 Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.103 | 14.3 | LOS B | 0.6 | 16.0 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 35.3 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 45 | 2.0 | 49 | 2.0 | 0.103 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.6 | 16.0 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 35.2 |
| 12 R 2 | 20 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.103 | 8.4 | LOS A | 0.6 | 16.0 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 34.2 |
| Approach | 70 | 1.3 | 76 | 1.3 | 0.103 | 8.9 | LOS A | 0.6 | 16.0 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 34.9 |
| All Vehicles | 1210 | 1.7 | 1315 | 1.7 | 0.553 | 7.5 | LOS A | 4.4 | 112.6 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.49 | 35.3 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  | 「 | \% ${ }^{1+1}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 190 | 490 | 15 | 95 | 55 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 60 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 190 | 490 | 15 | 95 | 55 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 60 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 75 | 550 |  | 625 | 275 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 318 |  |  | 873 |  |  | 684 |  |  | 482 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.2 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 |  | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 10.5 |  |  | 10.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 24.0 | 14.0 |  | 11.0 | 26.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% | 48.0\% | 28.0\% |  | 22.0\% | 52.0\% |  |  | 30.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 |  | 5.5 | 20.5 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lead |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | None | None | None |  | None | Min |  |  | Min |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 42.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: 3rd Ave \& Tennant Way/SR 432 WB Off Ramp


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ |  | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \％ | 个 |  |  | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 5 | 0 | 190 | 490 | 15 | 95 | 55 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 60 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 5 | 0 | 190 | 490 | 15 | 95 | 55 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 60 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1559 | 0 | 1750 | 1600 | 1750 | 1695 | 1723 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 1695 | 1668 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 8 | 0 | 11 | 557 | 17 | 108 | 62 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 68 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 14 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Cap，veh／h | 12 | 0 | 0 | 782 | 28 | 176 | 104 | 713 | 0 | 0 | 587 | 94 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1485 | 6 |  | 2956 | 206 | 1309 | 1641 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 2864 | 447 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 6 | 47.5 |  | 557 | 0 | 125 | 62 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 246 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1485 | D |  | 1478 | 0 | 1514 | 1641 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 1611 | 1615 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.2 |  |  | 6.5 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.2 |  |  | 6.5 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.86 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.28 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 12 |  |  | 782 | 0 | 204 | 104 | 713 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 341 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.50 |  |  | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.72 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 197 |  |  | 1409 | 0 | 321 | 239 | 920 | 0 | 0 | 405 | 406 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 18.7 |  |  | 12.6 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 17.2 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 13.9 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 28.8 |  |  | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／In | 0.1 |  |  | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 47.5 |  |  | 13.8 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 22.6 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 18.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | D |  |  | B | A | B | C | A | A | A | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  |  |  |  | 682 |  |  | 431 |  |  | 488 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  | 14.6 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 18.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$ ，s | 16.0 | 7.9 | 13.5 | 5.3 | 11.1 | 21.4 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$ ， $\mathbf{s}$ | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 18.0 | 5.5 | 9.5 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 20.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 8.5 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 8.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.8 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 14.9

HCM 6th LOS B

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  |  | 个 | 怍 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 60 | 10 | 0 | 320 | 810 | 245 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 60 | 10 | 0 | 320 | 810 | 245 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 71 | 12 | 0 | 376 | 953 | 288 |




| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 757 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 175 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 582 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.675 | 6.68 | - | -4.235 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.475 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.875 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5475 | 3.604 | - | -2.2855 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 354 | 787 | - | -1320 | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 847 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 516 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 282 | 787 | - | -1320 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 282 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 847 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 411 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.1 | 0 | 4.4 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 282 | 787 | 1320 |

4: I-5/SR 432 Ramps \& Coweeman Park Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.9 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 2.2 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\nabla$ Site: 6 [Projected 2029 Without Project (Site Folder: General)]

I-5 NB Ramps/SR 432 Ramps at Old Pacific Hwy
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | INPUT VOLUMES |  | DEMAND FLOWS |  | Deg. Satn v/c $\qquad$ | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% BACK OF QUEUE <br> [ Veh. Dist ] veh ft |  | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed $\mathrm{mph}$ |
| South: NB Old Pacific Hwy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 180 | 1.0 | 196 | 1.0 | 0.256 | 4.4 | LOS A | 1.4 | 34.4 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.31 | 37.2 |
| 18 R2 | 125 | 3.0 | 136 | 3.0 | 0.256 | 4.5 | LOS A | 1.4 | 34.4 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.31 | 35.9 |
| Approach | 305 | 1.8 | 332 | 1.8 | 0.256 | 4.4 | LOS A | 1.4 | 34.4 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.31 | 36.6 |
| East: WB Kelso Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 115 | 1.0 | 125 | 1.0 | 0.132 | 10.5 | LOS B | 0.6 | 16.1 | 0.34 | 0.62 | 0.34 | 34.9 |
| 16 R2 | 35 | 0.0 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.132 | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.6 | 16.1 | 0.34 | 0.62 | 0.34 | 33.8 |
| Approach | 150 | 0.8 | 163 | 0.8 | 0.132 | 9.1 | LOS A | 0.6 | 16.1 | 0.34 | 0.62 | 0.34 | 34.6 |
| North: SB SR 432 Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 70 | 2.0 | 76 | 2.0 | 0.281 | 10.3 | LOS B | 1.6 | 40.0 | 0.31 | 0.49 | 0.31 | 36.5 |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 265 | 2.0 | 288 | 2.0 | 0.281 | 4.4 | LOS A | 1.6 | 40.0 | 0.31 | 0.49 | 0.31 | 36.5 |
| Approach | 335 | 2.0 | 364 | 2.0 | 0.281 | 5.6 | LOS A | 1.6 | 40.0 | 0.31 | 0.49 | 0.31 | 36.5 |
| West: NB I-5 Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.080 | 11.7 | LOS B | 0.4 | 10.1 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 36.4 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 50 | 2.0 | 54 | 2.0 | 0.080 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.1 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 36.2 |
| 12 R 2 | 20 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.080 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.1 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 35.1 |
| Approach | 75 | 1.3 | 82 | 1.3 | 0.080 | 6.2 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.1 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 35.9 |
| All Vehicles | 865 | 1.7 | 940 | 1.7 | 0.281 | 5.9 | LOS A | 1.6 | 40.0 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.33 | 36.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 「 | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 225 | 560 | 15 | 135 | 55 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 60 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 225 | 560 | 15 | 135 | 55 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 60 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 75 | 550 |  | 625 | 275 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 318 |  |  | 873 |  |  | 684 |  |  | 482 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.2 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 |  | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 10.5 |  |  | 10.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 24.0 | 14.0 |  | 11.0 | 26.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% | 48.0\% | 28.0\% |  | 22.0\% | 52.0\% |  |  | 30.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 |  | 5.5 | 20.5 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lead |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | None | None | None |  | None | Min |  |  | Min |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: 3rd Ave \& Tennant Way/SR 432 WB Off Ramp


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ |  | 「 | \% ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }_{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 0 | 225 | 560 | 15 | 135 | 55 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 60 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 0 | 225 | 560 | 15 | 135 | 55 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 60 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1559 | 0 | 1750 | 1600 | 1750 | 1695 | 1723 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 1695 | 1668 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 0 | 636 | 17 | 153 | 62 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 449 | 68 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 14 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Cap, veh/h | 12 | 0 | 0 | 852 | 24 | 218 | 102 | 703 | 0 | 0 | 600 | 90 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1485 | 6 |  | 2956 | 151 | 1355 | 1641 | 1695 | , | 0 | 2892 | 423 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 6 | 48.5 |  | 636 | 0 | 170 | 62 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 260 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1485 | D |  | 1478 | 0 | 1506 | 1641 | 1695 | 0 | 0 | 1611 | 1619 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.2 |  |  | 7.7 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.2 |  |  | 7.7 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 0.90 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.26 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), veh/h | 12 |  |  | 852 | 0 | 242 | 102 | 703 | 0 | 0 | 344 | 346 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.50 |  |  | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.61 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 187 |  |  | 1342 | 0 | 304 | 228 | 877 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 388 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(1) | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 19.6 |  |  | 12.8 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 18.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 28.9 |  |  | 1.3 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 7.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.1 |  |  | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 48.5 |  |  | 14.1 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 21.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | D |  |  | B | A | C | C | A | A | A | C | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  |  |  |  | 806 |  |  | 431 |  |  | 517 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 21.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 17.4 |  | 8.0 | 14.0 | 5.3 | 12.4 |  | 21.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 18.0 |  | 5.5 | 9.5 | 5.0 | 8.0 |  | 20.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 9.7 |  | 3.5 | 8.0 | 2.2 | 6.2 |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 1.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | 个 | 个 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 60 | 10 | 0 | 320 | 880 | 300 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 60 | 10 | 0 | 320 | 880 | 300 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 71 | 12 | 0 | 376 | 1035 | 353 |



|  |  |  |  |  | ( |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 17 | 「 | 4 | 「 |  | 44 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 420 | 150 | 215 | 420 | 195 | 230 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 420 | 150 | 215 | 420 | 195 | 230 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 300 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 671 |  | 855 |  |  | 251 |
| Travel Time (s) | 18.3 |  | 23.3 |  |  | 6.8 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (\%) | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | her |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated | rdinated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 3: Talley Way \& Coweeman Park Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{02}$ |  |  |  |  | ¢ 10 |  |
| 22.5 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{1}{\square 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.5 s |  |  |  |  | 22.5 s |  |



4: I-5/SR 432 Ramps \& Coweeman Park Dr Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 13.1 | 4.2 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.8 |


|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 71 | 「 | 4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 250 | 170 | 465 | 565 | 240 | 410 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 250 | 170 | 465 | 565 | 240 | 410 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 350 | 0 |  | 0 | 400 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 30 |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 557 |  | 460 |  |  | 855 |
| Travel Time (s) | 12.7 |  | 12.5 |  |  | 19.4 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 9.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 19.0 | 47.5 |
| Total Split (\%) | 32.1\% | 32.1\% | 40.7\% | 40.7\% | 27.1\% | 67.9\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 14.5 | 43.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Min | Min | None | Min |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 5: Site Driveway/Talley Way \& I-5/SR 432 Ramps


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Q Site: 6 [Projected 2029 With Project (Site Folder: General)]

I-5 NB Ramps/SR 432 Ramps at Old Pacific Hwy
PM Peak Hour
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | INPUT VOLUMES |  | DEMAND FLOWS |  | Deg. Satn v/c $\qquad$ | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% BACK OF QUEUE <br> [ Veh. Dist ] veh ft |  | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed $\mathrm{mph}$ |
| South: NB Old Pacific Hwy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 T1 | 225 | 1.0 | 245 | 1.0 | 0.363 | 6.0 | LOSA | 2.2 | 56.9 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 36.2 |
| 18 R2 | 125 | 3.0 | 136 | 3.0 | 0.363 | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.2 | 56.9 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 35.0 |
| Approach | 350 | 1.7 | 380 | 1.7 | 0.363 | 6.0 | LOS A | 2.2 | 56.9 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 35.7 |
| East: WB Kelso Dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 115 | 1.0 | 125 | 1.0 | 0.139 | 10.7 | LOS B | 0.7 | 18.5 | 0.41 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 34.7 |
| 16 R2 | 35 | 0.0 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.139 | 4.8 | LOS A | 0.7 | 18.5 | 0.41 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 33.7 |
| Approach | 150 | 0.8 | 163 | 0.8 | 0.139 | 9.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 18.5 | 0.41 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 34.5 |
| North: SB SR 432 Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 335 | 2.0 | 364 | 2.0 | 0.564 | 10.6 | LOS B | 4.6 | 116.7 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.45 | 35.4 |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 335 | 2.0 | 364 | 2.0 | 0.564 | 4.7 | LOS A | 4.6 | 116.7 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.45 | 35.3 |
| Approach | 670 | 2.0 | 728 | 2.0 | 0.564 | 7.7 | LOS A | 4.6 | 116.7 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.45 | 35.3 |
| West: NB I-5 Off-Ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.113 | 14.5 | LOS B | 0.7 | 17.7 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 35.3 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 50 | 2.0 | 54 | 2.0 | 0.113 | 8.7 | LOS A | 0.7 | 17.7 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 35.1 |
| 12 R 2 | 20 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.113 | 8.6 | LOS A | 0.7 | 17.7 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 34.1 |
| Approach | 75 | 1.3 | 82 | 1.3 | 0.113 | 9.1 | LOS A | 0.7 | 17.7 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 34.8 |
| All Vehicles | 1245 | 1.7 | 1353 | 1.7 | 0.564 | 7.5 | LOS A | 4.6 | 116.7 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 35.3 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: SCJ ALLIANCE | Licence: PLUS / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, July 7, 2022 10:39:11 AM
Project: N:\Projects\0994 Gibbs \& Olson\22-000124 Segale Property\03-Analysis\Operations\I-5 NB Ramps-SR 432 Ramps.sip9


[^0]:    $\mathrm{N}: \backslash$ Projects $\backslash 0994$ Gibbs \& Olson \22-000124 Segale Property $\backslash 04$-Deliverables $\backslash 03$-Traffic Scoping $\backslash$ Letter $\backslash 2022-0505$ Kelso-Segale Warehouse Scoping Letter.docx

